



The Brazilian Journal of INFECTIOUS DISEASES

www.elsevier.com/locate/bjid



Original article

Tocilizumab or glucocorticoids treatment for patients with SARS-CoV-2 pneumonia: An observational study

Q1 Giovanni Dolci ^{a,*}, Giulia Cassone ^{b,c}, Giulia Besutti ^{c,d}, Romina Corsini ^e, Fabio Sampaolesi ^e, Valentina Iotti ^d, Elena Galli ^b, Adalgisa Palermo ^b, Matteo Fontana ^f, Pamela Mancuso ^g, for the Reggio Emilia COVID-19 Working Group

^a Infectious Disease Unit, University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, Modena, Italy

^b Rheumatology Unit, IRCCS Arcispedale Santa Maria Nuova, Azienda Unità Sanitaria Locale-IRCCS di Reggio Emilia, Reggio Emilia, Italy

^c Clinical and Experimental Medicine PhD Program, University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, Modena, Italy

^d Radiology Unit, Department of Imaging and Laboratory Medicine, Azienda USL-IRCCS di Reggio Emilia, Italy

^e Infectious Disease Unit, Azienda USL-IRCCS di Reggio Emilia, Italy

^f Pneumology Unit, Azienda USL-IRCCS di Reggio Emilia, Reggio Emilia, Italy

^g Servizio di epidemiologia, Azienda USL-IRCCS di Reggio Emilia, Reggio Emilia, Emilia-Romagna, Italy

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 4 September 2021

Accepted 5 December 2021

Available online xxx

Keywords:

COVID-19

SARS-CoV-2

Tocilizumab

Glucocorticoids

Methylprednisolone

Corticosteroids

ABSTRACT

Objective: To estimate the effect of tocilizumab or glucocorticoids in preventing death and intubation in patients hospitalized with SARS-CoV-2 pneumonia.

Methods: This was a retrospective cohort study enrolling all consecutive patients hospitalized at Reggio Emilia AUSL between February the 11th and April 14th 2020 for severe COVID-19 and treated with tocilizumab or glucocorticoids (at least 80 mg/day of methylprednisolone or equivalent for at least 3 days).

The primary outcome was death within 30 days from the start of the considered therapies. The secondary outcome was a composite outcome of death and/or intubation. All patients have been followed-up until May 19th 2020, with a follow-up of at least 30 days for every patient.

To reduce confounding due to potential non-comparability of the two groups, those receiving tocilizumab and those receiving glucocorticoids, a propensity score was calculated as the inverse probability weighting of receiving treatment conditional on the baseline covariates.

Results and conclusion: Therapy with tocilizumab alone was associated with a reduction of deaths (OR 0.49, 95% CI 0.21-1.17) and of the composite outcome death/intubation (OR 0.35, 95% CI 0.13-0.90) compared to glucocorticoids alone. Nevertheless, this result should be cautiously interpreted due to a potential prescription bias.

© 2021 Sociedade Brasileira de Infectologia. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>)

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: giodolci@hotmail.it (G. Dolci).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjid.2021.101702>

1413-8670/© 2021 Sociedade Brasileira de Infectologia. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>)

1 Introduction

2 With the emergence of new viral variants¹ and the vaccina-
3 tion programs still in the early phases in most countries,
4 pharmacological therapy for coronavirus disease-2019
5 (COVID-19) is a major clinical need.

6 Glucocorticoids and tocilizumab have been among the few
7 therapies that have proven a survival benefit in large random-
8 ized clinical trials (RCTs).^{2,3} Their effect seems to be synergic as
9 the benefit for patients treated with tocilizumab was evident
10 only in the glucocorticoids-treated group in the RECOVERY
11 trial.⁴ Consistently, in tocilizumab RCTs, where glucocorticoids
12 were used in a low percentage of patients or not used, tocilizu-
13 mab was not able to reduce mortality rates compared with usual
14 care or placebo.⁵⁻⁷ Adding tocilizumab to glucocorticoids ther-
15 apy has proven effective in the treatment of giant cell arteritis⁸
16 ⁻¹⁰ and it is a treatment of choice for that vasculitis.

17 We can hypothesize that the combination of these two
18 immunomodulatory therapies work on different pathways of
19 the SARS-CoV-2-induced hyperinflammatory reaction. Inter-
20 leukin-6 (IL-6) is a key inflammatory cytokine that is markedly
21 increased in most cases of severe COVID-19 and is linked to an
22 unfavourable outcome.¹¹ Nevertheless, in this disease the
23 immune dysregulation and the hyperinflammation seem to be
24 much broader and to involve multiple cytokines and inflam-
25 matory pathways.^{12,13} Thus, dexamethasone might supply a
26 wide-ranging immunomodulation providing a “back-bone” for
27 the more selective anti-IL-6 action of tocilizumab.

28 However, most of the COVID-19 pathogenesis remains
29 unknown. Although cytokine concentrations are elevated in
30 patients with severe and critical COVID-19, the degree of cyto-
31 kemia, including IL-6 serum levels, is markedly less than that
32 seen in patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome
33 (ARDS) unrelated to COVID-19, sepsis, and chimeric antigen
34 receptor (CAR) T cell-induced cytokine release syndrome.
35 Therefore, some authors have questioned the role of a cytokine
36 storm in COVID-19-induced organ dysfunction, and have sug-
37 gested that the overall effect of SARS-CoV-2 infection is actually
38 a hypo-immune reaction with subsequent (directly) virus-medi-
39 ated tissue damage and dysregulated inflammation and that
40 the benefit due to glucocorticoids was not related to IL-6 sup-
41 pression but mainly to the other effects of GCs, including the
42 anti-fibrotic.¹² Notably, a recent study on Middle-East Respira-
43 tory Syndrome showed improved survival in patients treated
44 with interferon-beta-1b and lopinavir/ritonavir, supporting a
45 possible role for immunity enhancers in beta-coronaviridae-
46 related diseases.¹⁴ Thus, further research on COVID-19 immu-
47 nopathogenesis and immunotherapies is much needed.

48 The objective of this study was to estimate the effect of
49 tocilizumab or glucocorticoids in preventing death and intu-
50 bation in patients hospitalized with SARS-CoV-2 pneumonia.

51 Patients and methods

52 Patients and case definition

53 This was a retrospective, monocentric observational cohort
54 study performed at Reggio Emilia AUSL, at two different sites,

the central research hospital of Reggio Emilia (Arcispedale 55
Santa Maria Nuova) and Guastalla Hospital (Reggio Emilia 56
province, Italy). All consecutive patients hospitalized between 57
February the 11th and April 14th 2020 for severe COVID-19 58
pneumonia and treated with tocilizumab or glucocorticoids 59
(at least 80 mg/die of methylprednisolone or equivalent for at 60
least 3 days) were included. 61

The study was approved by Comitato Etico Area Vasta Emi- 62
lia Nord. 63

SARS-CoV-2 infection was diagnosed at Hospital admis- 64
sion by a positive reverse-transcriptase polymerase chain- 65
reaction (RT-PCR) in a respiratory tract specimen. COVID-19 66
pneumonia was confirmed if chest X-rays and/or high-resolu- 67
tion computed tomography (HRCT) scan showed suggestive 68
findings.¹⁵⁻¹⁷ 69

Treatment 70

Tocilizumab was administered by intravenous (IV) or subcu- 71
taneous (SC) formulations. SC tocilizumab was used in some 72
patients because IV tocilizumab was not available for a period 73
of time. 74

IV tocilizumab was prescribed as 8 mg/kg (maximum dose 75
per single infusion: 800 mg), first dose at time 0 and a second 76
dose after 12 hours. SC tocilizumab was administered as two 77
to four 162 mg vials simultaneously, depending on patient’s 78
weight. 79

Suggested clinical features for tocilizumab-therapy eligi- 80
bility were evidence of a severe pneumonia (oxygen satura- 81
tion at rest on room air $\leq 93\%$ and/or arterial oxygen partial 82
pressure (PaO₂)/oxygen concentration (FiO₂) ≤ 300 mmHg), 83
the presence of exaggerated inflammatory response (body 84
temperature $> 38^\circ\text{C}$; serum C reactive protein (CRP) greater 85
than or equal to 10 mg/dl or at least double the basal value) 86
and absence of contraindications to tocilizumab therapy. We 87
used CRP as its levels are a consequence of IL-6 increments, 88
are more comparable between patients, and more promptly 89
available at every hospital site. 90

Glucocorticoids therapy was administered as IV methyl- 91
prednisolone 40 mg two times a day as per internal hospital 92
protocol. As we included the first two months of Italian epi- 93
demic, glucocorticoids were still contraindicated by World 94
Health Organization (WHO). Thus, they were mainly used as 95
rescue therapy for patients who did not improve 3-5 days 96
after hospitalization or when tocilizumab was less available. 97
The suggested clinical features for methylprednisolone ther- 98
apy were substantially the same as for tocilizumab: evidence 99
of a severe pneumonia, presence of exaggerated inflamma- 100
tory response (body temperature $> 38^\circ\text{C}$; serum CRP greater 101
than or equal to 10 mg/dl or at least double the basal value), 102
absence of contraindications to glucocorticoids therapy and 103
at least six days from symptoms onset. 104

At the time tocilizumab was widely available it was given 105
to the vast majority of patients with severe COVID-19, 106
whereas glucocorticoids were used when tocilizumab was not 107
available. During the days when only few doses of tocilizu- 108
mab were available it was prescribed with individual patient 109
evaluations. 110

To the scope of this study, we classified patients according 111
to the first therapy received, glucocorticoids or tocilizumab. 112

113 Patients who changed therapy or added the other therapy
114 more than 24 hours after the start of first treatment were con-
115 sidered as receiving the first therapy, with an intention to
116 treat approach. Patients who started both therapies on the
117 same day (less than 24 hours) were considered in a separate
118 group.

119 Data collection

120 Data were collected from both paper and electronic clinical
121 records. A standardized protocol with predefined laboratory
122 tests at admission was followed for all hospitalized COVID-19
123 patients from March 31st. Moreover, from both paper and
124 electronic clinical records we collected information about
125 hospital discharge, the condition of the patients at hospital
126 discharge, the type of respiratory support and death. Patients'
127 past medical history, including comorbidities and medica-
128 tions at home and during the hospital stay were also
129 recorded.

130 Radiological data

131 CT scans performed at emergency room presentation were
132 retrospectively reviewed by three radiologists in consensus,
133 collecting the presence/absence of ground-glass opacities and
134 consolidations, and the extension of pulmonary lesions using
135 a visual scoring system (< 20%, 20-40%, 40-60%, and > 60% of
136 parenchymal involvement).

137 Outcome measures

138 The primary outcome was the occurrence of death within
139 30 days from diagnosis. The secondary outcome was a com-
140 posite outcome of death and/or intubation. All patients have
141 been followed-up after diagnosis up to May the 19th, 2020,
142 with a follow-up of at least 30 days for every patient.

143 Occurrence of death during the follow-up was the main
144 outcome. A secondary composite outcome of worsening dur-
145 ing TCZ and/or glucocorticoids therapy included the patients
146 who died or were intubated during the follow-up; patients
147 already intubated at the moment of TCZ and/or glucocorti-
148 coids administration were not included for analyses regard-
149 ing this outcome.

150 Statistical analysis

151 Descriptive statistics for continuous variables were reported
152 as median and inter-quartile range (IQR).

153 To avoid the loss of the sample size and to reduce bias esti-
154 mates in the logistic model, we used a multiple imputation
155 truncated regression to fill in missing values of the continu-
156 ous variables.¹⁸

157 To reduce confounding due to non-comparability of the
158 two groups, those receiving tocilizumab and those receiving
159 glucocorticoids, a propensity score was calculated as the
160 inverse probability weighting of receiving treatment condi-
161 tional on the baseline covariates reported in [supplementary](#)
162 [Table 1](#). These probabilities were obtained by fitting a logistic
163 regression model of treatment status on whatever character-
164 istics of each subject. Furthermore, multivariate analysis was

performed using a logistic regression model to measure the
odds ratio, with relative 95% CI, of death for COVID-19 and of
intubation or death, adjusting for pre-existing conditions, dis-
ease related conditions and propensity score.

All main analyses were conducted excluding patients who
received both treatments on the same day. As sensitivity
analyses, we included patients who received both treatments
as third separate group. Two different propensity scores were
computed for this analysis, one considering patients with
both treatments as receiving tocilizumab, and one as receiv-
ing glucocorticoids. The two scores were then included alter-
natively in logistic models to see the robustness of the
results.

All the analyses were conducted with STATA v.13.

179 Results

A total of 295 patients were included in the study, 135 in the
tocilizumab group (75 intravenous, 60 subcutaneous), 142 in
the glucocorticoids group and 18 in the combination group (3
intravenous tocilizumab, 15 subcutaneous). The only gluco-
corticoid used was methylprednisolone. Demographic, clinical,
serological and radiological features of patients are
summarized in [Supplementary Table 1](#).

The full dose (80 mg/day) of methylprednisolone was
administered for a mean of 5.45 days (range 3-17 days) with a
slight difference between the methylprednisolone-alone
group (mean 5.47 days, range 3-17 days) and methylpredni-
sone-tocilizumab combination group (mean 5.28 days, range
3-8 days).

Patients who received tocilizumab compared to those who
received methylprednisolone were younger, (median age
65 vs. 73 years), had less comorbidities, in particular COPD,
dementia, chronic kidney disease, heart failure, arrhythmia;
they were more frequently obese even if they had lower prev-
alence of dyslipidaemia. Regarding previous use of drugs,
tocilizumab patients had received more frequently angioten-
sin II receptor blocker and less frequently ACE-inhibitors. Fur-
thermore, they had been less frequently treated with
methylprednisolone before hospital admission. Time from
symptoms onset to treatment was similar in the two groups,
but symptoms were slightly different: those receiving tocili-
zumab had more frequently fever and cough, less myalgia
and asthenia, but similar O₂ saturation; greater extent of lung
parenchyma involvement, as well CRP and IL-6 were higher
while a smaller proportion had high level of troponin and
neutrophils. Time from hospitalization to treatment was also
similar in the two groups (tocilizumab: median 2 days – IQR
1-4 days; methylprednisolone: median 2 days – IQR 1-6 days).

From March 11 to March 23 tocilizumab was available and
administered to the vast majority of patients, then the avail-
ability of drug decreased and the proportion of patients
treated with methylprednisolone increased ([Supplementary](#)
[Figure 1](#)).

Fifteen patients underwent orotracheal intubation in both
tocilizumab and methylprednisolone groups, while one was
intubated in the combination group. Nineteen patients died
in the tocilizumab group, 38 in the methylprednisolone group
and three in the combination group. Out of 135 patients in the

Table 1 – Multivariate logistic regression related to clinical outcomes.

	Death			Intubation or Death		
	OR	p	(95% IC)	OR	p	(95% IC)
Treatment						
Corticosteroids	1			1		
Tocilizumab	0.49	0.11	(0.21 - 1.17)	0.35	0.03	(0.13 - 0.90)
Pre-existing conditions						
Sex						
M	1			1		
F	0.82	0.64	(0.35 - 1.89)	1.14	0.74	(0.54 - 2.39)
Age	1.10	0.00	(1.06 - 1.15)	1.08	0.00	(1.04 - 1.12)
Ischemic heart disease						
No	1					
Yes	3.01	0.04	(1.04 - 8.69)			
Missing	0.56	0.42	(0.13 - 2.32)			
Obesity						
No	1			1		
Yes	2.12	0.12	(0.83 - 5.38)	1.75	0.18	(0.78 - 3.93)
Missing	0.85	0.73	(0.34 - 2.12)	0.58	0.35	(0.19 - 1.80)
Cardiac arrhythmia						
No				1		
Yes				1.40	0.63	(0.36 - 5.45)
Missing				0.40	0.17	(0.11 - 1.46)
Myalgia/asthenia						
No				1		
Yes				0.58	0.22	(0.24 - 1.40)
Missing				0.57	0.53	(0.10 - 3.34)
Anticoagulants at home						
No				1		
Yes				1.24	0.78	(0.28 - 5.55)
Missing				1.68	0.23	(0.72 - 3.92)
Disease related conditions						
Time between symptom onset and treatment	0.98	0.53	(0.91 - 1.05)	0.96	0.29	(0.90 - 1.03)
Lung involvement						
<20%	1			1		
20-40%	0.30	0.04	(0.09 - 0.95)	0.36	0.05	(0.13 - 1.01)
40-60%	0.61	0.36	(0.21 - 1.75)	0.41	0.07	(0.15 - 1.08)
>60%	0.90	0.85	(0.29 - 2.76)	0.64	0.39	(0.23 - 1.77)
Missing	1.42	0.78	(0.11 - 17.65)	0.90	0.94	(0.07 - 11.49)
Maximum body temperature (increase per 1°C)	1.53	0.06	(0.98 - 2.37)	1.52	0.05	(1.01 - 2.28)
spO₂	0.94	0.04	(0.89 - 1.00)			
CRP	1.04	0.12	(0.99 - 1.09)			
LDH						
<465	1			1		
465-586	1.42	0.56	(0.44 - 4.53)	0.81	0.69	(0.28 - 2.35)
586-739	4.93	0.01	(1.57 - 15.53)	4.46	0.00	(1.62 - 12.26)
>739	4.42	0.01	(1.4 - 13.89)	3.16	0.03	(1.12 - 8.90)
Respiratory Frequency				1.09	0.01	(1.02 - 1.16)
Propensity score	0.94	0.48	(0.78 - 1.12)	0.88	0.26	(0.71 - 1.10)

Model are also adjusted for calendar time.

222 tocilizumab-alone five underwent orotracheal intubation and
 223 died, compared to 7 out of 142 in the methylprednisolone
 224 group, and 1 out of 18 in the tocilizumab plus methylprednis-
 225 olone group. The median follow-up was similar in the three
 226 groups (14 days for both tocilizumab and methylprednisolone
 227 groups, 13 for the combined group) (Supplementary Table 1).

228 Table 1 shows multivariate logistic regression related to
 229 clinical outcomes (death and composite outcome death/
 230 intubation). Therapy with tocilizumab alone was associated
 231 with a reduction of deaths (OR 0.49, 95% CI 0.21-1.17) and of

the composite outcome death/intubation (OR 0.35, 95% CI
 0.13-0.90) compared to methylprednisolone alone. Propen-
 sity scores were not influential in the model, while both out-
 comes were associated with age, obesity, fever, lung
 involvement (as protective), and LDH, while ischaemic heart
 disease and saturation were associated only with death and
 respiratory frequency with intubation or death. Among
 these variables, only obesity, fever, and lung involvement
 were confounders, because other variables are not associ-
 ated with treatment.

292 The associations between treatment and outcomes were
 293 robust to different sensitivity analyses: including also
 294 patients treated with both drugs the difference remained,
 295 while patients treated with both drugs had higher risk of
 296 death and death or intubation, even if the difference could be
 297 due to chance. Different ways to construct the propensity
 298 score did not change results.

299 Discussion

300 Our study suggests that patients treated with tocilizumab had
 301 a lower likelihood of the composite outcome death or intuba-
 302 tion compared to patients treated with methylprednisolone
 303 only. Even though this result is consistent with previous
 304 literature^{19,20} and was confirmed in multivariate analysis, it
 305 should be carefully interpreted, as the two groups differ in
 306 key demographic and clinical characteristics. Notably,
 307 patients in the tocilizumab group were younger compared to
 308 the methylprednisolone group. This may reflect a prescrip-
 309 tion bias as tocilizumab was preferred in younger patients
 310 with less comorbidities. In fact, the availability of tocilizumab
 311 was not constant during the study period, allowing the treat-
 312 ment of the vast majority of patients in the first two weeks of
 313 the study period, then a shortage occurred and clinicians
 314 were forced to select more carefully patients that could have
 315 a benefit from the therapy. This selection introduced a pre-
 316 scription bias that we tried to account for with a strategy of
 317 double adjusting, with propensity score and including the
 318 most influential variables in the models. The observed associ-
 319 ations were robust to sensitivity analyses, but residual con-
 320 founding could not be excluded in the presence of
 321 prescription bias.

322 No improvement in survival rate was observed in the toci-
 323 lizumab plus methylprednisolone combined therapy. How-
 324 ever, this analysis was limited by the small number of
 325 patients evaluated (only 18), whereas the size of the other two
 326 groups was much larger and similar. Furthermore, even if we
 327 considered in this group only patient who started the two
 328 treatments on the same day (<24h) we cannot exclude that
 329 some of these patients received the second treatment as a
 330 rescue therapy because the patient did not respond to the first
 331 one. Thus, the reduced survival in the combination group is
 332 likely to suffer from a selection bias as the tocilizumab-meth-
 333 ylprednisolone combination was often used as a rescue ther-
 334 apy in the most severe patients.

335 Our study has limitations, mostly related to its retrospec-
 336 tive and observational nature. There is an evident selection
 337 bias as the two main groups (tocilizumab alone and methyl-
 338 prednisolone alone) have different baseline characteristics,
 339 most notably almost eight years difference in the median age.
 340 This reflects the use of methylprednisolone in the first
 341 months of the epidemic at our centres, as they were used as
 342 compassionate therapy in most severe patients because WHO
 343 had not recommended their use at the time.

344 The cohort included in this study is highly selected accord-
 345 ingly to the criteria for prescribing the two drugs in that
 346 period. This selection introduces a selection bias that should
 347 not necessarily affect the comparison between the two drugs,
 348 but makes not interpretable the association between other

covariates and the outcomes, as it is evident for the protective 349
 effect of lung involvement, which is a strong negative prog- 350
 nostic factors in unselected cohort where the present study 351
 was nested.¹⁵ 352

Few patients were treated with a combination therapy of 353
 tocilizumab and methylprednisolone and they were a highly 354
 selected group, in which probably signs of bad prognosis of 355
 treatment failure were already appreciable by the clinicians 356
 when the second therapy was administered. Thus our data 357
 provide no information from real life practice for the com- 358
 bined therapy that recent RCTs suggest to be the most 359
 effective.^{4,21} It must be noticed that in these studies^{2,21} a clear 360
 benefit from anti-IL-6 agents treatment was witnessed only 361
 in patients receiving associated glucocorticoids. These data 362
 were confirmed in a recent WHO rapid meta-analysis²² were 363
 no clear improvement in 28-day mortality rate was not signifi- 364
 cantly improved in patients not receiving glucocorticoids. 365

Some strengths should be acknowledged as well. The two 366
 main groups of patients have analogous size and the patients 367
 were homogeneously followed-up using a common standard- 368
 ized protocol at the two hospital sites included. In addition, 369
 from March 31st, standardized blood tests and CT scan proto- 370
 col was applied to all patients admitted with COVID-19. Nev- 371
 ertheless, there is evidence of prescription bias, acting 372
 particularly when shortage of tocilizumab occurred. We tried 373
 to account for the lack of comparability of the two groups 374
 using a propensity score that allowed to include a large num- 375
 ber of potential confounders. Furthermore, we included in the 376
 final multivariate model all the variables that were still asso- 377
 ciated with outcomes. This analysis minimizes the risk of 378
 residual confounding, even if it cannot eliminate it. 379

Funding

No dedicated funding was used to conduct this study.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

The following are members of the Reggio Emilia COVID-19 385
 Working Group: 386

Massimo Costantini, Giulio Formoso, Emanuela Bedeschi, 387
 Cinzia Perilli, Elisabetta Larosa, Eufemia Bisaccia, Ivano Ven- 388
 turi, Cinzia Campari, Francesco Gioia, Serena Broccoli, Marta 389
 Ottone, Pierpaolo Pattacini, Giovanni Dolci, Romina Corsini, 390
 Giulia Besutti, Matteo Revelli, Valentina Iotti, Lucia Spaggiari, 391
 Pamela Mancuso, Paolo Giorgi-Rossi, Andrea Nitrosi, Marco 392
 Foracchia, Rossana Colla, Alessandro Zerbini, Marco Massari, 393
 Anna Maria Ferrari, Mirco Pinotti, Nicola Facciolongo, Ivana 394
 Lattuada, Laura Trabucco, Stefano De Pietri, Giorgio Francesco 395
 Danelli, Laura Albertazzi, Enrica Bellesia, Simone Canovi, 396
 Mattia Corradini, Tommaso Fasano, Elena Magnani, Annalisa 397
 Pilia, Alessandra Polese, Silvia Storchi Incerti, Piera Zaldini, 398

399 Efre Bonelli, Bonanno Orsola, Elisabetta Teopompi, Carlo
400 Salvarani.

401 Supplementary materials

402 Supplementary material associated with this article can be
403 found in the online version at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjid.2021.101702>.

405 REFERENCES

- 406 1. Fontanet A, Autran B, Lina B, Kieny MP, Karim SSA, Sridhar D.
407 SARS-CoV-2 variants and ending the COVID-19 pandemic.
408 Lancet (London, England). 2021;397:952–4. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(21\)00370-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(21)00370-6).
- 409 2. Abani O, Abbas A, Abbas F, et al. Tocilizumab in patients
410 admitted to hospital with COVID-19 (RECOVERY): a
411 randomised, controlled, open-label, platform trial. Lancet.
412 2021;397:1637–45. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(21\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(21)00676-0)
413 [00676-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(21)00676-0).
- 414 3. Dexamethasone in hospitalized patients with Covid-19. N
415 Engl J Med. 2020;384:693–704. [https://doi.org/10.1056/](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2021436)
416 [NEJMoa2021436](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2021436).
- 417 4. Horby PW, Pessoa-Amorim G, Peto L, et al. Tocilizumab in
418 patients admitted to hospital with COVID-19 (RECOVERY):
419 preliminary results of a randomised, controlled, open-label,
420 platform trial. Lancet. 2021;21249258. [https://doi.org/10.1101/](https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.02.11.21249258)
421 [2021.02.11.21249258](https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.02.11.21249258). 2021.02.11.
- 422 5. Salvarani C, Dolci G, Massari M, et al. Effect of Tocilizumab vs
423 Standard Care on Clinical Worsening in Patients Hospitalized
424 With COVID-19 Pneumonia: A Randomized Clinical Trial.
425 JAMA Intern Med. 2020. [https://doi.org/10.1001/](https://doi.org/10.1001/jamainternmed.2020.6615)
426 [jamainternmed.2020.6615](https://doi.org/10.1001/jamainternmed.2020.6615).
- 427 6. Salama C, Han J, Yau L, et al. Tocilizumab in patients
428 hospitalized with Covid-19 pneumonia. N Engl J Med. 2020.
429 <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2030340>.
- 430 7. Hermine O, Mariette X, Tharaux P-L, et al. Effect of tocilizumab
431 vs usual care in adults hospitalized with COVID-19 and
432 moderate or severe pneumonia: A randomized clinical trial.
433 JAMA Intern Med. 2020. [https://doi.org/10.1001/](https://doi.org/10.1001/jamainternmed.2020.6820)
434 [jamainternmed.2020.6820](https://doi.org/10.1001/jamainternmed.2020.6820).
- 435 8. Stone JH, Tuckwell K, Dimonaco S, et al. Trial of tocilizumab in
436 giant-cell arteritis. N Engl J Med. 2017;377:317–28. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1613849)
437 [org/10.1056/NEJMoa1613849](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1613849).
- 438 9. Villiger PM, Adler S, Kuchen S, et al. Tocilizumab for induction
439 and maintenance of remission in giant cell arteritis: a phase 2,
440 randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Lancet.
441 2016;387:1921–7. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(16\)00560-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(16)00560-2).
- 442 10. Schirmer M, Muratore F, Salvarani C. Tocilizumab for the
443 treatment of giant cell arteritis. Expert Rev Clin Immunol.
444 2018;14:339–49. [https://doi.org/10.1080/1744666X.2018.](https://doi.org/10.1080/1744666X.2018.1468251)
445 [1468251](https://doi.org/10.1080/1744666X.2018.1468251). 446
- 447 11. Zhang C, Wu Z, Li J-W, Zhao H, Wang G-Q. The cytokine
448 release syndrome (CRS) of severe COVID-19 and Interleukin-6
449 receptor (IL-6R) antagonist Tocilizumab may be the key to
450 reduce the mortality. Int J Antimicrob Agents. 2020;105954.
451 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2020.105954>.
- 452 12. Leisman DE, Ronner L, Pinotti R, et al. Cytokine elevation in
453 severe and critical COVID-19: a rapid systematic review, meta-
454 analysis, and comparison with other inflammatory
455 syndromes. Lancet Respir Med. 2020;8:1233–44. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-2600(20)30404-5)
456 [10.1016/S2213-2600\(20\)30404-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-2600(20)30404-5).
- 457 13. Croci S, Bonacini M, Dolci G, et al. Human dental pulp stem
458 cells modulate cytokine production in vitro by peripheral
459 blood mononuclear cells from coronavirus disease 2019
460 patients. Front Cell Dev Biol. 2020;8:609204. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.3389/fcell.2020.609204)
461 [10.3389/fcell.2020.609204](https://doi.org/10.3389/fcell.2020.609204).
- 462 14. Arabi YM, Asiri AY, Assiri AM, et al. Interferon beta-1b and
463 lopinavir-ritonavir for Middle East respiratory syndrome. N
464 Engl J Med. 2020;383:1645–56. [https://doi.org/10.1056/](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2015294)
465 [NEJMoa2015294](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2015294).
- 466 15. Besutti G, Giorgi Rossi P, Iotti V, et al. Accuracy of CT in a
467 cohort of symptomatic patients with suspected COVID-19
468 pneumonia during the outbreak peak in Italy. Eur Radiol.
469 2020;30:6818–27. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00330-020-07050-x>.
- 470 16. Colombi D, Bodini FC, Petrini M, et al. Well-aerated Lung on
471 admitting chest CT to predict adverse outcome in COVID-19
472 pneumonia. Radiology. 2020;201433. [https://doi.org/10.1148/](https://doi.org/10.1148/radiol.2020201433)
473 [radiol.2020201433](https://doi.org/10.1148/radiol.2020201433).
- 474 17. Huang C, Wang Y, Li X, et al. Clinical features of patients
475 infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan. China. Lancet
476 (London, England). 2020;395:497–506. [https://doi.org/10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30183-5)
477 [S0140-6736\(20\)30183-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30183-5).
- 478 18. Raghunathan TE, Lepkowski J, Hoewyk J Van, Solenberger P. A
479 multivariate technique for multiply imputing missing values
480 using a sequence of regression models. Surv Methodol.
481 2001;27:85–95. 482
- 483 19. Rodríguez-Baño J, Pachón J, Carratalà J, et al. Treatment with
484 tocilizumab or corticosteroids for COVID-19 patients with
485 hyperinflammatory state: a multicentre cohort study (SAM-
486 COVID-19). Clin Microbiol Infect Off Publ Eur Soc Clin Microbiol
487 Infect Dis. 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmi.2020.08.010>.
- 488 20. Mikulska M, Nicolini LA, Signori A, et al. Tocilizumab and
489 steroid treatment in patients with COVID-19 pneumonia. PLoS
490 One. 2020;15:e0237831. [https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0237831)
491 [pone.0237831](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0237831).
- 492 21. Investigators TR-C. Interleukin-6 receptor antagonists in
493 critically ill patients with Covid-19. N Engl J Med.
494 2021;384:1491–502. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2100433>.
- 495 22. Shankar-Hari M, Vale CL, Godolphin PJ, et al. Association
496 between administration of IL-6 antagonists and mortality
497 among patients hospitalized for COVID-19: a meta-analysis.
498 JAMA. 2021;326:499–518. [https://doi.org/10.1001/](https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2021.11330)
499 [jama.2021.11330](https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2021.11330).