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Treatment of chronic hepatitis C in patients with chronic kidney disease with Sofosbuvir-baseda regimens
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ABSTRACT

Background: To analyze the effectiveness and the safety of Sofosbuvir-based regimens to treat patients with chronic hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection and chronic kidney disease (CKD).

Methods: A retrospective, observational study in patients with chronic HCV infection and CKD treated with Sofosbuvir-based regimens was performed. Liver fibrosis, comorbidities, HCV genotype and sustained virological response (SVR) at 12th week post-treatment were evaluated. Kidney function was accessed by serum creatinine and glomerular filtration rate (GFR). The assumed level of significance was 5%.

Results: Thirty-five patients were treated. The mean age was 52.1 ± 10.9 years, 19 (54.3%) were women, 32 (91.4%) were already kidney transplanted and 3 (8.6%) were on hemodialysis. The SVR by intention to treat was 88.6%. The mean GFR was 65.8 ± 28.6 and 63.7 ± 28.3 ml/min pre- and post-treatment respectively (p > 0.05). Treatment was interrupted in 1 (2.85%) patient due to anemia and in 2 (5.7%) due to loss of kidney function.

Conclusion: Sofosbuvir-based regimens are effective to treat HCV in patients with CKD. In patients with mild CKD this type of therapy seems to be safe.

© 2020 Sociedade Brasileira de Infectologia. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Introduction

The prevalence of hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection in patients with end-stage renal disease (ESRD) is clearly higher than the observed in the general population. In Brazil, 1.38% of the population is infected with HCV, and in patients on dialysis, the prevalence of this infection ranges from 4.2%–8% depending on the renal replacement therapy center.

HCV infection in patients with chronic kidney disease (CKD) is associated with a more rapid progression of liver disease, increased mortality due to complications of cirrhosis, loss of renal graft and glomerulonephritis, thus contributing to a worse prognosis in these patients. Despite the relationship between HCV and the progression of CKD, the vast majority of patients with CKD remained untreated, because they are historically a difficult to treat population due to the fre-
quent adverse effects associated with medications used to treat HCV. In addition to the complexity of the treatment, the use of Interferon in renal transplant recipients is associated with a high risk of graft rejection (15%–100%) and has been contraindicated in this set. The advent of direct-acting antivirals (DAA) dramatically changed the treatment of HCV, including the special population of patients with CKD. In 2015, the use of Sofosbuvir (SOF) based regimens was approved in Brazil. Renal transplant patients and patients on hemodialysis have been treated with DAA since then, but the importance of individualizing the treatment due to the risk of loss of kidney function was also emphasized.

The objective of the present study was to analyze the effectiveness and the safety of Sofosbuvir-based regimens to treat patients with CKD.

Material and methods

A retrospective, observational study was performed in all chronically infected patients with HCV and CKD at various stages treated with SOF-based regimens at the Gastroenterology/Hepatology outpatient clinic of the Santa Casa Hospital, Porto Alegre, a tertiary reference hospital from southern Brazil, from June 2016 to March 2018.

The age, sex, liver fibrosis, comorbidities, HCV genotype and sustained virologic response (SVR) at 12th week post-treatment were evaluated.

Kidney function was accessed by serum creatinine and GFR calculated using the Cockroft-Gault formula before, after treatment and 3 months after the end of treatment. Chronic kidney disease was classified at different stages according to criteria established by Kidney Disease Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO). Kidney transplantation prior to treatment and the use of immunosuppressants were also registered.

Chronic HCV infection was defined as the persistence of HCV-RNA for a period of at least six months. Molecular diagnosis HCV were performed by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) - Real-time using the Extraction and Amplification Method: COBAS® AmpliPrep / COBAS® TaqMan® HCV Quantitative Test, v2.0 (Roche).

Patients were considered to have cirrhosis based on clinical, image, laboratory or histologic parameters (METAVIR score).

Treatment with DAA was performed according to the Brazilian Public Health System (2015), which recommended that patients with CKD and kidney transplant patients should be treated with a non-interferon-alpha regimen and, if possible, without ribavirin.

In the statistical analysis, the quantitative variables were described by mean and standard deviation (if normal distribution) or median and interquartile range (if asymmetric distribution). The normality of the continuous variables was evaluated by the Shapiro-Wilk test. Categorical variables were described by absolute and relative frequencies. To compare means before and after treatment, the paired t-student test was applied. In case of asymmetry, the Wilcoxon test was used. For the categorical variables, the McNemar test was applied. To compare means between the three moments, the analysis of variance (ANOVA) for repeated measures was applied. In case of asymmetry or ordinal variables, the Friedman test was used. The association between numerical and ordinal variables was evaluated by the Spearman correlation coefficient. The significance level adopted was 5% (p<0.05) and the analyzes were performed in the SPSS program version 21.0. The study was approved by the Research Ethics of local Committee (number 1838479).

Results

Thirty-five patients were analyzed. There was a light predomiance of females (54.3%), the mean age was 52.1 ± 10.1 years (34–70 years), 32 (91.4%) were previously submitted to renal transplants and 3 (8.6%) patients were on hemodialysis (Table 1).

Considering the stages of CKD, 16 (45.7%) were stage 3 or worse. Regarding the etiology of kidney failure, 24 (68.6%) had no diagnosis, and systemic lupus erythematous was found in 3 (8.6%) patients. Glomerulonephritis focal segmental scleroderma (GESF), diabetes mellitus (DM), systemic arterial hypertension, polycystic kidney disease, Alport syndrome,
The treatment was suspended in 3 (8.6 %) patients, being 1 (2.8 %) due to anemia and 2 (5.8 %) due to a significant loss of kidney function. The patient who discontinued treatment for symptomatic anemia (hemoglobin drop) was cirrhotic on hemodialysis using Sofosbuvir, Daclatasvir and Ribavirin. The two patients worsening kidney function were cirrhotic Child-Turcotte-Pugh B, renal transplanted, in the advanced stages of CKD stage 3 (GFR 41 ml/min) and stage 4 (GFR 27 ml/min), worsening to GFR 29 ml/min and 25 ml/min, respectively.

When the median creatinine and GFR were analyzed, there was no statistically significant difference before and after treatment with Sofosbuvir (Table 4). And also, there was no statistically significant difference when analyzed the CKD according to the different stages (Fig. 1).

SVR was evaluated in 32 patients who completed the treatment, and 31 (88.6 %) achieved SVR 12 in an intention to treat analysis; per protocol 96.9 %. Only 1 (2.8 %) presented HCV recurrence after treatment.

Discussion

In the present study, a series of cases of patients with CKD and HCV were treated with Sofosbuvir-based regimens, successfully achieving SVR and without GFR decline. Most of the patients were renal transplant patients, non-cirrhotic patients with HCV genotype 1, and the most commonly used treatment regimen was the association of Sofosbuvir and Daclatasvir for 12 weeks.

Hepatitis C virus often has a negative impact on the survival of post-replacement transplant patients due to the increased risk of graft loss due to rejection and disease infection, post-transplant diabetes, cancer and rapid progression of liver fibrosis.10

Although eradication of HCV is of paramount importance, renal transplant recipients have always represented a special population difficult to treat. Currently, the use of DAA has been an important step in the treatment of HCV infection due to rates of SVR ranging from 60 % to 100 % according to genotype and degree of hepatic dysfunction.11

Many studies in the literature that evaluate the use of DAA in CKD presented with encouraging results. Desnoyer et al.12 observed that Sofosbuvir was well tolerated in 13 dialysis patients. However, SVR12 was 100 % in patients who used Sofosbuvir full dose and 60 % in those who received three times a week. Saxena et al., (n = 19, being 5 on hemodialysis) observed, in the TARGET study, no difference in the SVR regardless of kidney function. However, patients with GFR < 30 ml/min experienced more anemia and worsened kidney function after using Sofosbuvir.13 Studies on kidney transplant recipients treated with DAAs stand out with 100 % SVR12.14-16 This study confirms that Sofosbuvir-based therapies are effective in patients with CKD. In this series of 35 cases, the SVR12 was reached in 31 (88.6 %) patients. Only one patient (2.8 %) developed HCV recurrence after treatment; he was genotype 1a, under hemodialysis, presented fibrosis F1 evaluated by THE and was treated with Sofosbuvir, Daclastavir and Ribavirin for 12 weeks.

Treatment of hepatitis C with new DAA may represent a risk for reactivation of hepatitis B virus in co-infected patients.
Table 4 - Comparison of creatinine and glomerular filtration rate (GFR) pre, post and three months after treatment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Pre</th>
<th>Post</th>
<th>3 m after EOT</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine (mg/dL), Median (P25-P75)</td>
<td>1.27 (0.94–1.57)</td>
<td>1.23 (0.92–1.70)</td>
<td>1.28 (0.92–1.92)</td>
<td>0.57*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFR (mL/min); Median ± SD</td>
<td>65.8 ± 28.6</td>
<td>63.7 ± 28.3</td>
<td>64.4 ± 29.8</td>
<td>0.241</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

M = months; treat = treatment; GFR = Glomerular filtration rate; SD = standard deviation; EOT = end of treatment.

* Friedman test.

b Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for repeated measures.

Fig. 1 - Comparison between the stages of CKD pre, post and 3 months after the end of treatment. (p = 0.0459 McNemar test).

with HCV. A systematic review and meta-analysis found 17 studies involving 1621 patients being 242 with HBV and 1379 with resolved hepatitis B (HBsAg-negative and anti-HBc-positive). All were treated with different regimens containing DAA against HCV. The reactivation of HBV was observed in 24% (IC95% 19–30%) in patients with chronic HBV infection and 1.4% (0.8–2.4%) in those with resolved HBV infection.2 In the present study, 2 (5.7%) patients were HBsAg positive, and one reactivated HBV during use de DAA.

To know the drug interactions of DAA is of fundamental importance, particularly the interaction between protease inhibitors and calcineurin inhibitors or mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR). Simeprevir, a second-generation antiviral that inhibits the protease coded by the HCV NS3/4A region, has potential drug interaction with Tacrolimus, Sirolimus and Everolimus.18

Sofosbuvir (polymerase inhibitor encoded by the HCV NS5B region) and Daclatasvir (HCV NS5A region-encoded polymerase inhibitor) have a low risk of interaction with immunosuppressants and have panenotypic action. In this study, the vast majority of patients were renal transplant patients (n = 33; 94.3%).

All patients were treated with Sofosbuvir based regimes. Renal transplant patients were using immunosuppressants, 8 (25.0%) used schedules with Ciclosporin, and 17 (48.5%) with Tacrolimus. There was no need for more frequent control of the levels of immunosuppression due to the lack of drug interaction with these DAA. This study showed that treatment with Sofosbuvir was well tolerated in patients with mild CKD, although 3 (8.6%) patients discontinued therapy.

A potential limitation of the present study the fact that it is retrospective and included a small number of patients in more advanced stages of CKD.

In conclusion, this study suggests that treatment HCV in patients with CKD is effective, and in patients with mild CKD this type of therapy seems to be safe.
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