



The Brazilian Journal of INFECTIOUS DISEASES

www.elsevier.com/locate/bjid



Original article

Characteristics of co-infections by HCV and HBV among Brazilian patients infected by HIV-1 and/or HTLV-1

Marcia Moreira, André Ramos, Eduardo M. Netto, Carlos Brites*

Laboratório de Pesquisa em Infectologia, Complexo Hospitalar Universitário Professor Edgard Santos, Universidade Federal da Bahia (UFBA), Salvador, BA, Brazil

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 7 August 2012

Accepted 3 April 2013

Available online 9 September 2013

Keywords:

HIV-1

HTLV-1

Coinfection

HCV

HBV

Brazil

ABSTRACT

Background: The human retroviruses HIV-1 and HTLV-1 share the routes of infection with hepatitis viruses B and C. Co-infection by these agents are a common event, but we have scarce knowledge on co-infection by two or more of these agents.

Objective: To evaluate the characteristics and risk factors for co-infections by HBV and HCV in patients infected by HIV-1 or/and HTLV-1, in Salvador, Brazil.

Methods: In a case-control study we evaluated patients followed in the AIDS and HTLV clinics of Federal University of Bahia Hospital. Clinical and epidemiological characteristics were reviewed, and patients were tested for the presence of serological markers of HBV and HCV infections. HCV-infected patients were tested by PCR to evaluate the presence of viremia.

Results: A total of 200 HIV-1, 213 HTLV-1-infected, and 38 HIV-HTLV-co-infected individuals were included. HIV-infected patients were more likely to have had more sexual partners in the lifetime than other patients' groups. HIV-HTLV-co-infected subjects were predominantly male. Patients infected by HTLV or co-infected had a significantly higher frequency of previous syphilis or gonorrhoea, while HIV infection was mainly associated with HPV infection. Co-infection was significantly associated to intravenous drug use (IVDU). HBV and/or HCV markers were more frequently found among co-infected patients. HBV markers were more frequently detected among HIV-infected patients, while HCV was clearly associated with IVDU across all groups. AghBs was strongly associated with co-infection by HIV-HTLV (OR = 22.03, 95% CI: 2.69-469.7), as well as confirmed HCV infection ($p = 0.001$). Concomitant HCV and HBV infection was also associated with retroviral co-infection. Patients infected by HTLV-1 had a lower chance of detectable HCV viremia (OR = 0.04, 95% CI: 0.002-0.85).

Conclusions: Infection by HCV and/or HBV is frequent among patients presenting retroviral infection, but risk factors and prevalence for each infection are distinct for each agent. Retroviral co-infection increases the risk of a positive AghBs, but HTLV-1 infection seems to increase the likelihood of HCV spontaneous clearance.

© 2013 Published by Elsevier Editora Ltda. Este é um artigo Open Access sob a licença de [CC BY-NC-ND](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

* Corresponding author at: Rua Augusto Viana, Sn, 6° andar, Canela, Salvador, BA 40140-480, Brazil.

E-mail address: crbrites@gmail.com (C. Brites).

1413-8670 © 2013 Published by Elsevier Editora Ltda. Este é um artigo Open Access sob a licença de [CC BY-NC-ND](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bjid.2013.04.009>

Introduction

Viral hepatitis are important health problems worldwide.¹⁻⁴ It is well known that simultaneous infection by HIV-1 and the viruses of hepatitis B or C can modify the outcomes of such infections, with a higher likelihood of chronic liver disease, and a greater risk of rapid evolution to liver fibrosis.⁵ The introduction of highly active antiretroviral therapy as standard of care for AIDS treatment increased the life expectancy of HIV-1-infected patients, and chronic liver disease became a common finding and a leading cause of death among co-infected patients.^{6,7}

Although the natural history of co-infection by HIV-1 and viral hepatitis B (HBV) and C (HCV) is well known, there is scarce data on the characteristics and clinical impact of co-infection by HBV or HCV and another human retrovirus, the human T-cell lymphotropic virus type-1 (HTLV-1). HTLV-1 shares the same route of infection that are commonly associated with HIV-1 infection.⁸ However, the biological characteristics of these viruses are quite distinct, making the outcome of co-infection by these agents unpredictable. In a recent work, we demonstrate that patients co-infected by HIV-1, HTLV-1 and HCV had a lower chance to present liver fibrosis than those co-infected only by HCV and HIV-1, suggesting a potential protective role of HTLV-1.⁹ Since co-infection by HIV-1 and HTLV-1 is a frequent finding in some areas of the world, it is important to evaluate the risk factors for simultaneous infection by human retroviruses and HBV/HCV. Our goal in the present work was to describe the epidemiological characteristics of patients co-infected by HIV-1, and/r HTLV-1, HCV and HBV.

Methods

Study design

This is a case-control study to compare the risk factors for co-infection by viral hepatitis B (HBV) and C (HCV) among Brazilian patients infected by HIV-1 (cases), HTLV-1, or both retroviruses (controls).

Patients and settings

HIV-infected patients (*case group*): a total of 200 consecutive HIV-positive patients during their regular visit to clinical follow-up or laboratory evaluation entered the study. The entry criteria were: a Western blot (WB) confirmed infection by HIV-1, a negative serology for HTLV-1 and age ≥ 18 years.

HTLV-1 infected patients (*control group 1*): a total of 213 consecutive patients were included in the study. WB confirmed infection by HTLV-1, and a negative serology for HIV-1 and age ≥ 18 years.

HIV-1-HTLV-1 co-infected group (*control group 2*): a total of 38 HIV-infected patients (older than 18 years) with a simultaneous positive serology for HTLV-1.

All patients were recruited in HIV or HTLV outpatients' clinics of Federal University of Bahia Hospital, and provided a written informed consent to enter the study, which was approved by the institutional ethics review board (IRB). They

were interviewed and had their medical charts reviewed to collect information on gender, age, sexual behavior (sexual orientation, number of current/lifetime sexual partners, and previous history of sexually transmitted diseases), and illicit drug use.

Sample size calculation

Around 2500 HIV-infected patients are followed in our AIDS clinic, while the HTLV clinic cares for approximately 600 patients. We calculated the sample size to have a power of 80% and a 95% confidence interval (CI), by using an expected prevalence of HBV equal to 5.5% for HIV-infected individuals and an infection rate similar to that found in general population (0.5%) for HTLV-infected subjects. This would require 197 patients in each group. For HCV, using the estimated rate of 3% of infection for general population and 15% for HIV-infected individuals we would need 167 patients in each group. For co-infected patients we estimated a 40% prevalence of HCV infection, which would result in a sample of 23 patients in this group. For HBV infection, using an estimate of 25% prevalence we would need 26 individuals to have the same power and CI. All co-infected cases under regular follow-up in our clinics were included.

Laboratory tests

Serological screening for HIV-1 and HTLV-1

As a routine in our service, HIV infected patients are screened by enzyme immunoassays (EIA) for HTLV-1/2 antibodies (Vironostika or Murex). Reactive samples are confirmed by a Western blot (Wb) test, capable of discriminating between HTLV-1 and HTLV-2 infections (Genelabs, Singapore). Similarly, all HTLV-1 patients are routinely screened for HIV-1 antibodies by EIA. HIV-1 infection confirmation is made by Wb or by molecular methods (viral load determination by NASBA until 2007 and b-DNA from 2007 to present). Screening tests for both retroviruses were acquired from different licensed manufacturers, since the serological diagnosis for both infections in our study covered several years, and patients were evaluated in different periods of time. All patients included in the study were asked to provide a blood sample for laboratory tests. Plasma samples were stored at -20°C until further testing.

Hepatitis B (HBV) and C (HCV) infection

All patients were tested for markers of HBV infection (AgHBs and Anti-HBc serology) by EIA (Diasorin, Vercelli, Italy). Anti-HCV antibodies were detected by EIA (Diasorin, Vercelli, Italy) and reactive samples were confirmed by RIBA (Chiron RIBA HCV 3.0 Strip Immunoblot Assay). Detection of HCV in plasma was attempted by RT-PCR (EZ r Tth RNA PCR Kit, Applied Biosystems) in a single step, using the primers of the non-coding region 5' KY 78 CAC TCG CAA GCA TAT CAG GCA GT, and KY 80 GCA GAA AGC GTG TAG CCA TGG CG.

Patients presenting with HCV detectable viremia had their genotypes determined by TruGene sequencing system (TRUGENE 5'NC - Visible Genetics Toronto - Canadá - Bayer Health Care Diagnostics).

Table 1 – Demographic characteristics of patients and risk factors for acquisition of retroviral infection, according to their serological status for HIV and HTLV.

Variables	Group 1 (HIV+) n = 200	Group 2 (HTLV+) n = 213	Group 3 (HIV/HTLV+) n = 38
Mean age (SD)	39 (9.6)	49 (13)	43 (8.2)
Gender (%)			
Male	54.5	46.6	62.5 ^a
Female	45.5	53.4	37.5
Men/women ratio	1.2:1	1:1	1.6:1
Marital status (%)			
Married	30.8	54.0	41.9
Divorced	8.6	9.4	0.0
Single	46.6	31.9	41.9
Widow	14.0	4.7	16.1
Sexual behavior			
Heterosexual	68.7	96.7	81.3
Homosexual	15.7	0.5	9.4
Bisexual	15.7	2.8	9.4
Condom use			
Always	1.0	1.9	0.0
Sometimes	47.5	19.2	56.3
Never	51.5	78.9	43.7
Number of sex partners			
<10	49.7	75.9	65.5
>10	50.2	24.1	34.5
Sex partner with multiple partners	85.1 ^a	42.6	66.7
Anal sex	56.4	ND	53.1
Commercial sex	15.5	ND	28.1

ND, unavailable data.

^a $p < 0.05$ for comparison between groups.

Statistical analysis

Our main outcomes of interest were markers of risk exposure to HIV-1, HTLV-1 and HCV/HBV infections. We calculated prevalence and 95% confidence intervals (CI) based on binomial distributions. Summary statistics included frequency tables for categorical variables, medians and interquartile range (IQRs) for continuous variables. We conducted univariate analyses examining associations between groups using the chi-square test, or Fisher's exact test (when appropriate), and calculated odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CI). To further examine associations in subgroups of interest, in particular between risk factors for different infections, we conducted stratified analyses.

Results

Demographics and risk behavior for acquisition of infections

The mean age was similar for the three groups, but patients in co-infected (HIV-HTLV) group were significantly more likely to be male ($p = 0.001$, Yates corrected). Although it did not reach statistical significance, we observed a higher frequency (31%) of men reporting sex with other men (MSM) in group 1, than in group 2 (3%) or group 3 (19%). The use of condoms was seldom reported: almost all patients (98%) in the 3 groups declared not

to use condoms during sexual intercourse. A significant difference was detected in the number of sexual partners (lifetime) across the groups: 50% of patients in group 1 (HIV-1-infected) reported more than 10 sexual partners, in comparison with 24% of those in group 2 (HTLV-1 infection), and 34.5% in group 3 ($p < 0.001$ for comparison between group 1 and groups 2 or 3). On the other hand, a significant difference ($p < 0.01$) was found in the frequency of illicit drug use (IDU), with patients in Group 1 (16.2%), and Group 2 (3%) reporting a significantly lower frequency of IDU, in comparison with those in Group 3 (32%, $p < 0.01$, Yates corrected). Table 1 shows the main characteristics of the patients involved in the study. In addition, significantly more patients in group 1 reported IVDU as sexual partners, compared with only 8% of those in group 2 ($p = 0.001$, Yates corrected). Among co-infected patients, 32% declared themselves to be illicit drug users (90% IV), and 46% had an IVDU sexual partner ($p = 0.001$ compared with group 2). The history of previous use of blood or blood products was not significantly different in group 1 (8%), group 2 (19%) and group 3 (15%). The frequency of patients who were breastfed was similar in groups 2 (88%) and 3 (98%). For group 1, this information was unavailable.

History of a previous sexually transmitted disease (STD) was significantly more frequent ($p < 0.001$, Yates corrected) for patients in group 3 (58%) than for those in group 2 (27%) or group 1 (37%). The frequency of specific STDs was also different for each group. Group 1 were more likely to have a previous history of HPV (30%) than groups 2 (17%) or 3 (23%, $p < 0.001$,

Table 2 – Frequency of previous sexually transmitted diseases (STD) among the studied groups of patients.

	Group 1 (HIV+) n (%)	Group 2 (HTLV+) n (%)	Group 3 (HIV/HTLV+) n (%)
STD	73/200 (36.6)	36/132 (27.3)	22/38 (57.8) ^a
Gonorrhea	26/73 (35.0)	33/36 (92.4) ^a	11/22 (50.0)
Syphilis	17/73 (23.2)	9/36 (25.0) ^b	11/22 (50.0) ^b
HPV	22/73 (30.0) ^a	2/36 (5.5)	4/22 (18.1)
Genital Herpes	19/73 (26.0)	6/36 (16.6)	5/22 (22.7)

^a $p < 0.001$ for comparison with the other 2 groups.

^b $p < 0.001$ for comparison with group 1.

Table 3 – Prevalence of serological markers for viral hepatitis B and C.

Markers	Group 1 (HIV+) n = 200, n (%)	Group 2 (HTLV+) n = 213, n (%)	Group 3 (HIV/HTLV+) n = 38, n (%)
HBsAg	1 (0.5)	5 (2.3)	8 (21.0)
Anti-HBc	43 (21.5)	40 (18.8)	18 (47.3)
Hepatitis B	44 (22.0)	41 (19.2)	25 (65.7) ^a
Hepatitis C	26 (13.0)	9 (4.2)	13 (34.2) ^a
Hepatitis B and C	11 (5.5)	3 (1.4)	9 (23.6) ^a

^a $p < 0.001$ for comparison between group 3 and the other 2 groups.

Yates corrected), while group 2 reported more gonorrhea (92%) and syphilis (25%), and group 3 reported a higher frequency of syphilis (50%) than the other 2 groups ($p < 0.001$ for all calculations). Table 2 shows the frequency of each STD for the different groups of patients.

Prevalence of HBV and HCV markers

The overall frequency of serological markers for previous HBV infection (HBsAg and/or Anti-HBc) was 27.5% (55/200) in Group 1, 20.6% (44/213) in Group 2, and 89.5% (34/38) in Group 3 ($p = 0.001$, Yates corrected). The prevalence of HBV surface antigen (HBsAg) was lower (0.5%) among HIV singly infected, and among HTLV-1-infected patients (2.5%), than in patients co-infected by both retroviruses (21.0%, OR = 22.03, 95% CI: 2.69–469.7, $p = 0.0002$, Yates corrected). The prevalence of anti-HCV (RIBA confirmed) was also higher among co-infected patients (28.9%), than in patients infected by HIV-1 alone (10.5%), or HTLV-1 alone (4.2%, $p = 0.001$, Yates corrected). In addition, evidence of previous infections by both, HCV and HBV was also higher in the co-infected group (23.6%) than in singly infected by HIV-1 (5.5%) or HTLV-1 (1.4%, $p < 0.001$, Yates corrected) groups. Table 3 summarizes the serological evidence for these infections.

The risk factors associated with HCV and HBV infections were distinct for each group of patients: individuals with a positive marker for HBV were more likely to be male and infected by HIV-1 (70.5%), or co-infected by HIV-1 and HTLV-1 (78.0%), than HTLV-1-singly infected ones (58.5%, $p < 0.001$, Yates corrected). In addition, patients infected by HIV-1 were more likely to be homo-bisexual than those infected by HTLV-1 alone ($p < 0.001$, Yates corrected). HCV infection was basically associated with IVDU, for all groups of patients ($p < 0.01$, Yates corrected, for all comparisons between groups).

The prevalence of detectable HCV RNA plasma viremia was compared in the three groups. Among patients with a RIBA-confirmed HCV infection, detectable HCV viremia was present in 17/17 (100%) samples tested among HIV-1 singly infected patients, in 4/7 (57.1%) of HTLV-1-infected patients, and in 8/11 (72.7%) of HIV-HTLV co-infected patients. Patients singly infected by HTLV-1 had a significantly lower likelihood of having detectable HCV viremia, in comparison with those infected by HIV-1 alone (singly or co-infected with HTLV-1, OR = 0.04, 95% CI: 0.002–0.85).

The distribution of HCV subtypes is presented in Table 4. We did not detect any association between specific HCV subtypes and co-infections, but there was a trend for higher frequency of subtype 1a among IVDU patients (Table 5).

Discussion

Our results demonstrated that, although HIV-1, HTLV-1, HCV, and HBV share some common routes of infection, the risks for

Table 4 – Frequency of HCV subtypes among selected patients.^a

HCV subtype	Frequency (%)
1a	13 (28.9)
1b	15 (33.3)
2b	1 (2.2)
3a	12 (26.7)
3d	3 (6.7)
4a	1 (2.2)
Total	45 (100.0)

^a Includes all patients with an available subtype determination.

Table 5 – Demographic characteristics and risk factors for HCV infection according to HCV subtype.

Characteristics	HCV subtype						Total	p-Value ^b
	1a	1b	2b	3a	3d	4a		
Age/years								
18–30	2 (18.2) ^a	–	–	1 (8.3)	–	–	3 (7.3)	
31–40	2 (18.2)	3 (23.1)	1 (100.0)	1 (8.3)	1 (33.3)	–	8 (19.5)	
41–50	6 (54.5)	8 (61.5)	–	7 (58.3)	1 (33.3)	1 (100.0)	23 (56.1)	
>50	1 (9.1)	2 (15.4)	–	3 (25.0)	1 (33.3)	–	7 (17.1)	
Total	11 (100.0)	13 (100.0)	1 (100.0)	12 (100.0)	3 (100.0)	1 (100.0)	41 (100.0)	0.60
Gender								
Male	9 (69.2)	10 (66.7)	1 (100.0)	7 (58.3)	1 (33.3)	1 (100.0)	29 (64.4)	
Female	4 (30.8)	5 (33.3)	–	5 (41.7)	2 (66.7)	–	16 (35.6)	
Total	13 (100.0)	15 (100.0)	1 (100.0)	12 (100.0)	3 (100.0)	1 (100.0)	45 (100.0)	0.68
Blood transfusion								
Yes	1 (14.3)	1 (8.3)	1 (100.0)	1 (10.0)	–	–	4 (12.1)	
No	6 (85.7)	11 (91.7)	–	9 (90.0)	3 (100.0)	–	29 (87.9)	
Total	7 (100.0)	12 (100.0)	1 (100.0)	10 (100.0)	3 (100.0)	–	33 (100.0)	0.92
Sexual behavior								
Heterosexual	7 (100.0)	10 (76.9)	1 (100.0)	6 (60.0)	2 (66.7)	–	26 (76.5)	
Homosexual	–	1 (7.7)	–	1 (10.0)	1 (33.3)	–	3 (8.8)	
Bisexual	–	2 (15.4)	–	3 (30.0)	–	–	5 (14.7)	
Total	7 (100.0)	13 (100.0)	1 (100.0)	10 (100.0)	3 (100.0)	–	34 (100.0)	0.42
IV drug use								
Yes	6 (75.0)	3 (23.1)	–	5 (50.0)	–	–	14 (40.0)	
No	2 (25.0)	10 (76.9)	1 (100.0)	5 (50.0)	3 (100.0)	–	21 (60.0)	
Total	8 (100.0)	13 (100.0)	1 (100.0)	10 (100.0)	3 (100.0)	–	35 (100.0)	0.052

^a Number of patients (%).

^b For comparison between the HCV subtypes 1A, 1B, 3A and 3D. Subtypes 2B and 4A were not included in the analysis due to its low frequency.

acquiring these agents are quite distinct. HIV-1, as expected, was associated with a higher number of sexual partners, a higher likelihood of being male, homosexual, having an IVDU sexual partner, and having a higher number of sexual partners. Co-infection by HIV-1 and HTLV-1 was clearly associated with use of illicit drugs, especially by IV route, and with having IVDU as sexual partners. For group 2 (HTLV-1 infected patients) the only detected risk was a higher frequency of gonorrhea and syphilis.

There is clear association between a higher number of sexual partners and increased risk for HIV-1 infection. In addition, the association of sexual contact with IVDU and HIV-1 infection was also expected, since these patients usually present a risky behavior, through sharing injection equipment, having commercial sex, or sexual relationships with other high risk individuals.^{10,11} The detected linkage between specific STDs and HTLV-1 infection suggest a higher sexual exposure to HTLV-1, which seems to be the preferential route of transmission for this specific group.

The viral hepatitis agents HBV and HCV were much more prevalent across the study groups than in general population. Available data show that HCV (1–2%) and HBV (0.3–1%) are less frequent in the general population than that found in groups 1 and 3.^{12–15} However, the prevalence of HBsAg (an evidence of persistent HBV infection), was significantly lower in HIV-infected patients (0.5%) than in HTLV-1 (2.5%) or co-infected patients (21%) groups. It suggests that infection by HTLV-1 can reduce the spontaneous clearance of HBV, and this risk increases in the simultaneous presence of HIV-1 infection. In

addition, HIV-1-HTLV-1 co-infected patients were also more likely (28.9%) to be also infected by HCV, than HIV-1 (10.5%) or HTLV-1 (4.2%) singly infected groups. Infection by both HBV and HCV were also more frequent in group 3, probably as a reflex of a higher prevalence of IVDU in this specific group.

The variables associated with HBV infection were being male, homo-bisexual, and infected by HIV-1. On the other hand, HCV infection was basically associated with IVDU. However, HCV spontaneous clearance was significantly less frequent for patients infected by HIV-1 than for those infected by HTLV-1 (singly or co-infected). This suggests that the interactions between these hepatotropic viruses and the two distinct human retroviruses can be diverse: while HBsAg was less frequently found in HIV-1 infected patients, HCV viremia was more frequent in this group. On the other hand, co-infection by HTLV-1 seems to increase the spontaneous clearance of HCV viremia, but was associated with a higher rate of HBV antigenemia.

HTLV-1 infection has a controversial effect on HIV-1 infection natural course. Although it seems evident that HTLV-2 do not interfere in AIDS clinical course, several evidence of laboratory and clinical studies demonstrate that such interaction can be deleterious for HIV-1 infection.^{16–18} However, in a recent study we found that HTLV-1 can modulate the immune response of HIV-HTLV co-infected patients with an increase in the production of IL-2 and gamma-Interferon (Th1 type response).¹⁹ This fact could favor the immune response against HCV, which is largely dependent on endogenous production of interferon, providing the conditions for higher

spontaneous clearance of HCV viremia. Our findings suggest that spontaneous HCV clearance is significantly more likely to occur in HTLV-1 infected patients, probably as a consequence of such immune modulation. A previous work conducted by our group had found similar results.⁹

On the other hand, the role of HIV-HTLV co-infection on HBV infection natural course is not well understood. As far as we could see, there is only a few epidemiological published papers on such co-infection, with low prevalence of simultaneous infections, but no information on the potential effects of HTLV on HIV-HBV infection natural history.^{4,20-22} Other confounding factor is the prevalence of simultaneous infection by all these four viral agents, in a limited number of patients, making difficult to clarify the exact role of each virus on these findings.

It came as a surprise to detect higher frequency of HBsAg in HTLV-1, and among HIV-HTLV-infected patients. This fact can suggest that HTLV-1 can negatively impact the HBV clearance (in contrast to that detected for HCV), and co-infection by HIV-1 can even amplify this effect. Again, the main limitations of our conclusions are the small sample size, which makes it difficult to clearly identify potential confounders.

Simultaneous HIV-1 and HTLV-1 infections are frequently detected in regions where these agents are prevalent. HCV and HBV also share some routes of transmission, which makes co-infection by all these agents quite a predictable finding, especially among IVDU. It is important to be aware of these potential interactions between such agents, since they can significantly affect the natural course of each of these viral infections, mostly for HIV-1 infected patients. Studies including a higher number of patients are necessary to better clarify these questions.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

- Nelson PK, Mathers BM, Cowie B, et al. Global epidemiology of hepatitis B and hepatitis C in people who inject drugs: results of systematic reviews. *Lancet*. 2011;378:571-83.
- Barth RE, Huijgen Q, Taljaard J, Hoepelman AI. Hepatitis B/C and HIV in sub-Saharan Africa: an association between highly prevalent infectious diseases. A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Infect Dis*. 2010;14:e1024-31.
- Chacko EC, Surrin SK, Mubarak Sani TP, Pappachan JM. Chronic viral hepatitis and chronic kidney disease. *Postgrad Med J*. 2010;86:486-92.
- Zou S, Musavi F, Notari EP, Stramer SL, Dodd RY. Prevalence, incidence, and residual risk of major blood-borne infections among apheresis collections to the American Red Cross Blood Services, 2004 through 2008. *Transfusion (Paris)*. 2010;50:1487-94.
- Soriano V, Martin-Carbonero L, Vispo E, Labarga P, Barreiro P. Human immunodeficiency virus infection and viral hepatitis. *Enferm Infecc Microbiol Clin*. 2011;29(November (9)):691-701.
- Buskin SE, Barash EA, Scott JD, Aboulafia DM, Wood RW. Hepatitis B and C infection and liver disease trends among human immunodeficiency virus-infected individuals. *World J Gastroenterol*. 2011;17:1807-16.
- Puoti M, Nasta P, Gatti F, et al. HIV-related liver disease: ARV drugs, coinfection, and other risk factors. *J Int Assoc Physicians AIDS Care (Chic)*. 2009;8:30-42.
- Blattner WA, Clark JW, Gibbs WN, et al. HTLV: epidemiology and relationship to disease. *Princess Takamatsu Symp*. 1984;15:93-108.
- Bahia F, Novais V, Evans J, et al. The impact of human T-cell lymphotropic virus I infection on clinical and immunologic outcomes in patients coinfecting with HIV and hepatitis C virus. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2011;57 Suppl. 3: S202-7.
- Ichimura H, Kurimura O, Tamura I, Tsukue I, Tsuchie H, Kurimura T. Prevalence of blood-borne viruses among intravenous drug users and alcoholics in Hiroshima. *Jpn Int J STD AIDS*. 1995;6:441-3.
- Aviñó Rico MJ, Hernández Aguado I, Pérez Hoyos S, García de la Hera M, Ruiz I, Bolumar Montrull F. The incidence of human immunodeficiency virus type-1 (HIV-1) infection in parenteral drug users. *Med Clin (Barc)*. 1994;102: 369-73.
- Kershenobich D, Razavi HA, Sánchez-Avila JF, et al. Trends and projections of hepatitis C virus epidemiology in Latin America. *Liver Int*. 2011;31 Suppl. 2:18-29.
- Martins T, Narciso-Schiavon JL, Schiavon Lde L. Epidemiology of hepatitis C virus infection. *Rev Assoc Med Bras*. 2011;57:107-12.
- Pereira LM, Martelli CM, Merchán-Hamann E, et al., Hepatitis Study Group. Population-based multicentric survey of hepatitis B infection and risk factor differences among three regions in Brazil. *Am J Trop Med Hyg*. 2009;81:240-7.
- Miranda AE, Figueiredo NC, Schmidt R, Page-Shafer K. A population-based survey of the prevalence of HIV, syphilis, hepatitis B and hepatitis C infections, and associated risk factors among young women in Vitória, Brazil. *AIDS Behav*. 2008;12 Suppl.:S25-31.
- Sobesky M, Couppie P, Pradinaud R, et al., French Guiana Study. GECVIG (Clinical HIV Study Group in Guiana). Coinfection with HIV and HTLV-I infection and survival in AIDS stage. *Presse Med*. 2000;29:413-6.
- Brites C, Sampalo J, Oliveira A. HIV/human T-cell lymphotropic virus coinfection revisited: impact on AIDS progression. *AIDS Rev*. 2009;11:8-16.
- Brites C, Goyanna F, França LG, et al. Coinfection by HTLV-I/II is associated with an increased risk of strongyloidiasis and delay in starting antiretroviral therapy for AIDS patients. *Braz J Infect Dis*. 2011;15:6-11.
- Abrahão MH, Lima RG, Netto E, Brites C. Short communication: human lymphotropic virus type 1 coinfection modulates the synthesis of cytokines by peripheral blood mononuclear cells from HIV type 1-infected individuals. *AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses*. 2012;28:806-8.
- Lima LH, Viana MC. Prevalence and risk factors for HIV, syphilis, hepatitis B, hepatitis C, and HTLV-I/II infection in low-income postpartum and pregnant women in Greater Metropolitan Vitória, Espírito Santo State, Brazil. *Cad Saude Publica*. 2009;25:668-76.
- Nunes CL, Andrade T, Galvão-Castro B, Bastos FI, Reingold A. Assessing risk behaviors and prevalence of sexually transmitted and blood-borne infections among female crack cocaine users in Salvador - Bahia. *Brazil Braz J Infect Dis*. 2007;11:561-6.
- Trenchi A, Gastaldello R, Balangero M, Irizar M, Cudolá A, Gallego S. Retrospective study of the prevalence of human T-cell lymphotropic virus-type 1/2, HIV, and HBV in pregnant women in Argentina. *J Med Virol*. 2007;79:1974-8.